9-iodo-12-(chloromethyl)-o-carborane are formed but are easily removed by a single crystallization.

## **Experimental Section**

Iodination of o-Carborane in CCl<sub>4</sub>. A solution of 3.3 g of I<sub>2</sub>, 2.1 g of o-carborane, and 0.3 g of AlCl<sub>3</sub> in 80 mL of CCl<sub>4</sub> was refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> for 3 h. The mixture was poured into 100 mL of distilled water and the organic layer separated and dried over MgSO4. The filtered solution was concentrated at the water pump to give a residue that was analyzed by gas chromatography on a 6 ft  $\times$  <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> in. 15% OV 101 on Gaschrom Q 60/80 column at 200 °C. Preparative gas chromatography gave known compounds 1, 2, 3, and 5 as well as the unknown 4 (mp 163-164 °C). Precise mass for  $B_{10}C_2ClH_{10}I$ : calcd, 306.0448; found, 306.0442  $\pm 0.003.$ 

Iodination of o-Carborane in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. A mixture of 10.35 g of ocarborane and 18.2 g of  $I_2$  was refluxed in 250 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$  with a catalytic amount of AlCl<sub>3</sub> for 16.5 h. The clear yellow solution was poured into distilled water and the organic layer separated. The water was extracted once with 100 mL of ether, and the combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The filtered solvent was removed at the water pump and the residue recrystallized from hexane to give 18 g of 1 (93%; mp 125-126 °C, lit.<sup>7b,10</sup> mp 122-123 °C).

Registry No. 1, 17830-03-4; 2, 19513-12-3; 3, 98330-58-6; 4, 98330-59-7; 5, 17702-35-1; o-carborane, 16872-09-6.

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington, D.C. 20059

# Kinetics of Reduction of Cobalt(III) Porphyrins by Fe<sup>II</sup>-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>

Robert Langley, Peter Hambright,\* and R. F. X. Williams

### Received May 13, 1985

Kinetics studies on the mechanisms of reduction of cobalt(III) porphyrins to their divalent states have employed chromium(II),1-3 vanadium(II),<sup>2</sup> dithionite,<sup>4,5</sup> hexaammineruthenium(II),<sup>2,6</sup> radiolysis-produced radicals,<sup>7</sup> superoxide,<sup>8</sup> cobalt(II) porphyrins,<sup>9</sup> and metalloporphyrin anion radicals.7 In contrast to many of these reagents, iron(II) ethylenediaminetetraacetate (Fe<sup>II</sup>-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>) is a weak reductant that will attack only the coordinated metal ion and not reduce the metalloporphyrin ring system itself. We report the kinetics of reduction of five water-soluble positively and negatively charged cobalt(III) porphyrins with Fe<sup>II</sup>-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>. The observed rate laws are a function of the porphyrin charge type, and the corresponding Co<sup>III/II</sup>-porphyrin self-exchange rate constants are calculated.

#### **Experimental Section**

The cobalt(III) forms of tetrakis(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin (TPP-S), tetrakis(N,N,N-trimethylaniliniumyl)porphyrin (TAP), and tetrakis(*N*-methyl-4-, -3-, or -2-pyridiniumyl)porphyrin (TMPyP(X)) were prepared and purified by literature methods.<sup>15-17</sup> Iron(II) in the form

- Fleischer, E. B.; Cheung, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 8381-8386. Balahura, R. J.; Trivedi, C. P. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 3130-3132. (2)
- (4) Pasternack, R. F.; Cobb, M. A.; Sutin, N. Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14,
- 866-873. (5) Adeyemo, A.; Valiotti, A.; Hambright, P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 64, L251-L253.
- Pasternack, R. F. Inorg. Chem. 1976, 15, 643-646. (6)
- Neta, P. J. Phys. Chem. 1981, 85, 3678-3684.
   Peretz, P.; Solomon, D.; Weinraub, D.; Farragi, M. Int. J. Radiat. Biol. Relat. Stud. Phys. 1982, 42, 449-456.
- (9)Chapman, R. D.; Fleischer, E. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1575-1582.

Table I. Kinetic Data for Co<sup>III</sup>-P/Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> Reactions<sup>a</sup>

compd	$k_{\rm D},  {\rm M}^{-1}$					
	<i>E</i> °*, V <sup><i>b</i></sup>	p <i>K</i> 3	s <sup>-1</sup> c	$K, M^{-1}c$	$k_{\rm C},  {\rm s}^{-1}$	
Co <sup>III</sup> -TPPS <sup>3-</sup>	+1.06	4.6	$2.0 \times 10^{3}$	<10		
Co <sup>III</sup> –TAP <sup>5+</sup>	+1.17	3.6	$7.3 \times 10^{3}$	460	$1.6 \times 10$	
Co <sup>III</sup> -TMPyP(3) <sup>5+</sup>	+1.19	1.8	$7.8 \times 10^{4}$	530	$1.5 \times 10^{2}$	
$Co^{III}$ -TMPyP(4) <sup>5+</sup>	+1.19	1.4	$8.7 \times 10^{4}$	470	$1.8 \times 10^{2}$	
Co <sup>III</sup> -TMPyP(2) <sup>5+</sup>		-0.9	$1.4 \times 10^{5}$	770	$1.8 \times 10^{2}$	

<sup>a</sup>Conditions: I = 0.5 (NaCl/HCl); pH 5; 25 °C. <sup>b</sup> Potential for the Co<sup>III</sup>-P/Co<sup>III</sup>-P<sup>+</sup> oxidation vs. NHE.  $k_D = A, K = B$ , and  $k_C = A/B$ from eq 1.



Figure 1. Graphs of  $k_{obsd}$  vs. Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> for the Co<sup>III</sup>-P/Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> reactions at 25 °C (Co<sup>III</sup>-TPPS<sup>3-</sup> data at I = 0.1, Co<sup>III</sup>-TAP<sup>5+</sup> data at I = 0.5). The insert is a plot of  $k_{obsd}^{-1}$  vs. [Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>]<sup>-1</sup>.

 $FeCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  or  $Fe(NH_4)_2(SO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (both gave the same kinetic results) was dissolved in deareated solutions and analyzed spectrophotometrically with o-phenanthroline.<sup>18</sup> A stoichiometric amount of standardized deaerated EDTA was added to produce the air-sensitive Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>. All reagents were handled in Hamilton glass syringes. The reactions were run under chromous scrubbed argon at 25 °C and followed on a Durrum-Gibson stopped-flow apparatus. The ionic strength (1) was maintained with NaCl/HCl, and 10<sup>-2</sup> M HOAc/NaOAc was the buffer. Ac and cyclic voltammetry<sup>19</sup> using a polished glassy-carbon electrode and SCE was done on N<sub>2</sub>-deaerated solutions at pH 4.5 (I = 0.1 M HCl/ KCl, 0.01 M HOAc/NaOAc).

#### Results

While other groups have reported reduction potentials in aqueous solution for  $Co^{III}/II$  porphyrins with TMPyP(4) ( $E^{\circ} =$ +0.42 V vs. NHE<sup>20</sup>), TPPS (-0.41 V<sup>21</sup>), TAP (+0.38  $v^{22}$ ), we found only broad, ill-defined peaks in the expected potential ranges using slow-sweep ac voltammetry However, one-electron-oxidation waves assigned to the ligand oxidation process  $Co^{III}-P = Co^{III}-P^+$ + e<sup>-</sup> were readily observed under the same conditions, and such  $E^{ox}$  values are listed in Table I. Cyclic voltammetry studies gave one-electron-reduction potentials of 0.00 V for Mn<sup>III/II</sup>-TMPvP(4) and -0.21 V for Mn<sup>III/II</sup>-TPPS at pH 4.5.

The kinetics were followed at the Soret bands for the cobalt porphyrins. Under pseudo-first-order conditions with at least a 50-fold excess of Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> to Co(III)-P (ca. 10<sup>-6</sup> M), the reactions were all first order in porphyrin for over 90% of the reaction, with an observed rate constant  $k_{obsd}$ . For Co(III)-TPPS<sup>3-</sup>

- (13) Rosenberg, R. C.; Wherland, S.; Holwerda, R. A.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6364-6369. Cassatt, J. C.; Marini, C. P.; Bender, J. R. Biochemistry 1975, 14,
- (14)5470-5475.

Pasternack, R. F.; Sutin, N. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 1956-1959. (1)

<sup>(10)</sup> Wherland, S.; Gray, H. B. In "Biological Aspects of Inorganic Chemistry"; Addison, A. W., Cullen, W. R., Dolphin, D., James, B. R., Eds; Wiley: New York, 1977; pp 289-357.

Reid, L. S.; Mauk, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 841-845. (b) Reid, L. S.; Mauk, M. R.; Mauk, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2182-2185

<sup>(12)</sup> Hodges, H.; Holwerda, D. A.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 3132-3137.



**Figure 2.** Plot of log  $k_D$  vs.  $I^{1/2}/(1 + I^{1/2})$  for Fe–EDTA<sup>2-</sup> reactions with Co<sup>III</sup>-TPPS<sup>3-</sup> and Co<sup>III</sup>-TAP<sup>5+</sup>.

at pH 4.5 (I = 0.1), Figure 1 shows the reaction to be first order in Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> (1.0 ×  $10^{-2}$ -5.0 ×  $10^{-4}$  M). The specific rate constant of  $(1.02 \pm 0.02) \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  was independent of pH in the range of 4.4-5.5, and a 5-fold excess of EDTA to Fe(II) did not alter the specific rate. Most of the reactions of the positive porphyrins were rather fast at I = 0.1 and were more conveniently followed at I = 0.5. A Co<sup>III</sup>-TPPS<sup>3-</sup> specific rate constant of 2.0  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was found under these conditions.

With all of the positively charged cobalt (III) porphyrins at I = 0.5, pH 4.5, plots of  $k_{obsd}$  vs. [Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>] became less than first order in Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> as its concentration increased, as shown in Figure 1. The observed rate law was of the form

$$k_{\text{obsd}} = A[\text{Fe}-\text{EDTA}^{2-}]/(1 + B[\text{Fe}-\text{EDTA}^{2-}]) \qquad (1)$$

Thus plots of  $1/k_{obsd}$  vs.  $1/[Fe-EDTA^{2-}]$  were linear (Figure 1) and the resulting A and B constants are listed in Table I. A comparison of the observed specific rates and those calculated by using eq 1 are in Table II.

### Discussion

The one-electron ring oxidation potentials<sup>23a</sup> for several metal-TMPyP(4) compounds are  $0.32 \pm 0.02$  V more positive than

- (15) Pasternack, R. F.; Cobb, M. A. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1973, 35, 4327-4332
- (16) Abwao-Konya, J.; Cappelli, A.; Jacobs, L.; Krishnamurthy, M.; Smith, M. Transition Met. Chem. (N.Y.) 1984, 9, 270-273.
  Hambright, P.; Fleischer, E. B. Inorg. Chem. 1970, 9, 1757-1763.
  Brandt, W. W.; Smith, G. F. Anal. Chem. 1949, 21, 1313-1315.
  Worthington, P.; Hambright, P.; Williams, R. F. X.; Feldman, M.;
  Smith, K. M. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1980, 16, 441-445.
  Bachach, D. E. Liciarette, W. B. University, W. D. Marstein, P.
- (19)
- (20) Rohrbach, D. F.; Heineman, W. R.; Heineman, W. R.; Pasternack, R.
- F. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 2650-2652. Chatt, J.; Elson, C. M.; Leigh, G. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 2408-2409. (21)
- (22)
- Ozer, D.; Parash, R.; Broitman, F.; Mor, U.; Bettelheim, A. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1984, 80, 1139–1149.
  (a) Harriman, A.; Richoux, M. C.; Neta, P. J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 4957–4965.
  (b) Williams, R. F. X.; Hambright, P. Bioinorg. Chem. 1979, 6 527, 544 (23) 1978, 9, 537-544.

**Table II.** Observed and Calculated  $k_{abed}$  Values<sup>a</sup>

		$k_{\rm obsd},  {\rm s}^{-1}$		
compd	[Fe-EDTA <sup>2-</sup> ], M	obsd	calcd <sup>b</sup>	
Co <sup>III</sup> -TMPyP(2)	$6.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90	62	
• • • •	$4.1 \times 10^{-4}$	45	44	
	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	13	13	
	$7.0 \times 10^{-5}$	9.2	9.3	
	$3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	4.7	4.6	
Co <sup>III</sup> -TMPyP(3)	$6.3 \times 10^{-3}$	120	110	
• • • •	$4.3 \times 10^{-3}$	110	100	
	$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	77	79	
	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	44	52	
	7.9 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	40	43	
	$4.1 \times 10^{-4}$	28	26	
Co <sup>III</sup> -TMPyP(4)	$4.2 \times 10^{-3}$	130	120	
•	$2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	90	92	
	$1.9 \times 10^{-3}$	91	88	
	$8.7 \times 10^{-4}$	51	54	
	$8.2 \times 10^{-4}$	52	50	
	$4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	27	29	
Co <sup>III</sup> -TAP	$3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	9.9	9.6	
	$2.3 \times 10^{-3}$	7.7	8.2	
	$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	6.0	6.5	
	9.9 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.6	5.0	
	$4.9 \times 10^{-4}$	3.0	3.0	

<sup>a</sup>Conditions: I = 0.5 (NaCl/HCl); 25 °C; pH 5.0. <sup>b</sup>Calculated from data in Table I.

for the corresponding TPPS complexes, and we find for the cobalt(III) porphyrins a difference of 0.37 V. Our Mn<sup>III/II</sup>-porphyrin reduction potentials are essentially the same as those reported by Harriman,<sup>24</sup> with the Mn<sup>III</sup>-TMPyP(4) reduced at potentials 0.21 V more positive than for  $Mn^{III}$ -TPPS. The  $E^{\circ}$  value for  $Mn^{III/II}$ - $\hat{T}AP^{25}$  is -0.15 V. Rohrbach and co-workers<sup>20</sup> used a gold minigrid electrode and the OTTLE technique to determine a potential of +0.42 V for the Co<sup>III/II</sup>-TMPyP(4) reaction at I = 0.5. We were unable to reproduce this result using a polished glassy-carbon electrode.<sup>26</sup> Nevertheless, we are going to assume the Rohrbach potential for Co<sup>III</sup>/II-TMPyP(4) and use the potentials for the better behaved manganese porphyrins to calculate values for the other cobalt porphyrins. Within the manganese porphyrin series, all compounds are high spin, with the same ligation number in acid solution. Within the cobalt porphyrin series, all compounds are low spin with similar coordination numbers. We have demonstrated before<sup>23b</sup> that, in DMF solutions, the reduction potentials of iron(III) and manganese(III) porphyrins parallel one another, and we are assuming this in the present series of manganese and cobalt porphyrins.

The Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>/Co<sup>III</sup>\_TPPS<sup>3-</sup> reaction with both reactants negatively charged was first order in oxidant and reductant in the range investigated. For the positively charged cobalt(III) porphyrins and negative  $Fe-EDTA^{2-}$ , eq 1 can be rationalized by assuming complexation [Co<sup>III-P5+</sup>...Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>] between the oppositely charged reactants. Two possibilities<sup>27</sup> are usually discussed:

For the continuous-intermediate mechanism, the complex forms and electron transfer  $(k_{\rm C})$  subsequently occurs. Thus,  $k_{\rm obsd}$  =  $k_{\rm C}K$ [Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>]/(1 + k[Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup>]), and from eq 1,  $A = k_{\rm C}K$ and B = K. In the dead-end mechanism, the complex forms as a dead-end species, and electron transfer  $(k_{\rm D})$  proceeds via the

- Harriman, A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 141-146. (24)
- Bettelheim, A.; Ozer, D.; Parash, R. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 (25)1983, 79, 1555-1564.
- For the other two cobalt porphyrins studied,<sup>21,22</sup> sufficient data is not available for a judgement on the  $Co^{III/II}$ -porphyrin reversibility. (26)
- Wilkins, R. G. "The Study of Kinetics and Mechanisms of Reactions (27)of Transition Metal Complexes" Allyn and Bacon: Boston, 1974; pp 26-30.

uncomplexed reactants. Here,  $k_{obsd} = k_D [Fe-EDTA^{2-}]/(1 + CDTA^{2-})]$  $K[Fe-EDTA^{2-}])$ , and  $A = k_D$ , B = K. Once the preequilibrium is established, the mechanisms are kinetically indistinguishable.

We tend to prefer the dead-end mechanism on the basis of the following considerations. First, the metal ion incorporation and acid-catalyzed zinc porphyrin solvolysis reactions<sup>28</sup> for TPPS<sup>4-</sup> and TMPyP(4)<sup>4+</sup> follow the same rate laws. Second, assuming the self-exchange rate constants for Co<sup>III/II</sup> porphyrins are similar to one another and independent of porphyrin charge, as noted earlier<sup>29</sup> in Mn<sup>III/II</sup>-porphyrin systems, the relative Marcus theory for outer-sphere reactions<sup>30</sup> predicts the ratio of the Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> reduction rates for Co<sup>III</sup>-TMPyP(4)/Co<sup>III</sup>-TPPS to be 59:1 [ratio =  $[10 \text{ exp}(16.9 (\Delta E^{\circ}))]^{1/2}$  with  $\Delta E^{\circ} = 0.21$  V from the Mn<sup>III/II</sup>-porphyrin results]. The observed  $k_{\rm D}$  ratio at I = 0.5 is 44:1. Third, the self-exchange rate constant<sup>20</sup>  $(k_{11})$  for Co<sup>III/II</sup>–TMPyP(4) is 20 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and that<sup>31</sup> for Fe<sup>III/II</sup>–EDTA ( $E^{\circ} = -0.12$  V) is 3 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. The Marcus equation predicts a cross-reaction rate constant of  $15 \times 10^4$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, close to the  $k_{\rm D}$  of 8.7 × 10<sup>4</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> observed for Co<sup>III</sup>-TMPyP(4). Finally, the SO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> reduction rates of a series of Fe(III)<sup>32</sup> and Mn(III)<sup>33</sup> porphyrins increase with a decrease in porphyrin basicity  $(pK_3)$ , and the same trend is noted here. There is a linear relationship between  $E^{\text{ox}}$  and  $pK_3$  ( $pK_3$  for the H<sub>4</sub>-P<sup>2+</sup>/H<sub>3</sub>-P<sup>+</sup> reaction<sup>34</sup>), and an equation<sup>34,35</sup> of the form log  $k_D = 5.7 - 0.53(pK_3)$  reproduces the Co<sup>III</sup>-TAP, -TMPyP(4,3), and -TPPS rate data to  $\pm 30\%$ . pK<sub>a1</sub> values for the H<sub>2</sub>O-Co<sup>III</sup>-P/HO-Co<sup>III</sup>-P reactions are 7.0 for Co<sup>III</sup>-TPPS,<sup>36</sup> 6.0 or Co<sup>III</sup>-TMPyP(4),<sup>15</sup> and undeterminable<sup>16</sup> for Co<sup>III</sup>-TAP. Consistent with many outer-sphere reactions,<sup>27</sup> we find little dependence of rate upon pH in the region between 4.5 and 5.5, an indication that the aquo and hydroxy forms have similar reactivities.

Table I shows that K increases as the positive substituent moves closer to the metal center. Other than postulating a specific geometry involving perhaps an Fe–EDTA<sup>2–</sup> group (radius  $\sim 4$  Å) centered above one face of the porphyrin (core radius  $\sim 5\%$  Å) prior to electron transfer, it is not clear at this stage why electrostatic interactions of Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> with positive substituents at

- (28) Nwaeme, J.; Hambright, P. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 1990-1992.
- (29) Langley, R.; Hambright, P. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1267-1269.

- (a) Marcus, R. A. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1964, 15, 1964–1989.
  (a) Wilkins, R. G.; Yelin, R. E. Inorg. Chem. 1968, 7, 2667–2669.
  (a) Worthington, P.; Hambright, P. J. Inog. Nucl. Chem. 1980, 42, 1651-1654

- 1608-1612.

the periphery of the porphyrin lead to a dead-end complex. Many cases of complex formation between metalloproteins and small inorganic oxidants or reductants are known.<sup>37,38</sup> The data are often considered in terms of a continuous-intermediate (CI) mechanism  $(k = k_{C}K)$ , and lack of deviation from first-order kinetics simply implies that K[reagent] < 1. For Co<sup>III</sup>-TPPS<sup>3-</sup>,  $K \approx 10 \text{ M}^{-1}$  would have to be invoked. From the CI viewpoint, the three cobalt pyridylporphyrins and Co-TPPS would transfer electrons at similar rates  $(k_C \approx 180 \text{ s}^{-1})$ , which are somewhat faster than that shown for Co<sup>III</sup>-TAP  $(k_C = 16 \text{ s}^{-1})$ . This seems a less productive hypothesis than the dead-end formulations advanced above

For Fe-EDTA<sup>2-</sup> reductions at I = 0.5,  $k_{11} = 6$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for  $Co^{III/II}$ -TMPyP(4) [20 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> from  $Ru(NH_3)_6^{2+}/Co^{III}$ -TMPyP(4) reactions<sup>20</sup>],  $k_{11} = 6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for Co<sup>III/II</sup>-TAP with  $E^{\circ} = +0.27 \text{ V}$  based upon  $E^{\circ}$  for Co<sup>III/II</sup>-TMPyP(4) and  $\Delta E^{\circ}$ from the  $Mn^{111/11}$  porphyrins [or 0.13  $M^{-1}$  s<sup>-1</sup> with use of the reported E° of +0.38 V<sup>22</sup>], and  $k_{11} = 4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  for Co<sup>III/II</sup>-TPPS<sup>3-</sup> [with  $E^{\circ} = +0.21$  V estimated in a similar fashion]. Using <sup>1</sup>H NMR techniques with Co<sup>III/II</sup> tetraphenylporphyrins. Chapman and Fleischer<sup>9</sup> find  $k_{11} = 9.7$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for Co<sup>III/II</sup>–TPP(py)<sub>2</sub> and 0.13 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> for Co<sup>III</sup>(py)<sub>2</sub>/Co<sup>II</sup>(py)–TPP in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solutions. While such  $k_{11}$ 's are not corrected for electrostatic work effects,<sup>10</sup> the observed values are close to one another and appear to be independent of porphyrin charge type, at the ionic strength investigated.

A number of metalloporphyrin self-exchange rate constants have been reported:  $k_{\rm H} = 3.2 \times 10^3 \, {\rm M}^{-1} \, {\rm s}^{-1} \, ({\rm Mn}^{\rm III/II} - {\rm TPPS}^{29}), 2.6$ ×  $10^3$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Mn<sup>III/II</sup>–TAP<sup>29</sup>),  $1.2 \times 10^6$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (H<sub>2</sub>O–  $Fe^{III/II} - TMPyP(4)^{41}$ , >10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> ((Im)<sub>2</sub>-Fe<sup>III/II</sup>-TMPyp(4)<sup>41</sup>), 107-108 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (for various dicyano and bis(substituted imidazole) Fe<sup>11/III</sup> porphyrins in Me<sub>2</sub>SO by direct measurement<sup>42</sup>), and 4  $\times$  $10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (Ag<sup>III/II</sup>-TPPS<sup>43</sup>). Such metalloporphyrin  $k_{11}$ 's promise to tie together diverse observations in the porphyrin field.44

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by Howard University NIH Biomedical Research Grant No. 5-SO-6-RR-08016-9 and PHS Grant No. GM-07700-05.

- (37) Chapman, S. K.; Martin Davis, A.; Vuik, C. P. J.; Sykes, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2092-2096.
- (38) Beoku-Betts, D.; Sykes, A. G. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1142-1147.
- (39) Shamim, A.; Hambright, P. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 694-696.
- (40) Ducan, I. A.; Harriman, A.; Porter, G. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 1980, 76, 1415-1428.
- (41) Pasternack, R. F.; Spiro, E. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 968-972. Dixon, D. W.; Barbush, M.; Shirazi, A. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1081-(42)
- 1087; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4638-4639. Langley, R.; Hambright, P.; Williams, R. F. X. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1985, (43) 104 L25-L27
- (44) Lavallee, D. K. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1985, 61, 55-96.